M

Ĭ						
1	LAWRENCE A. MICHAELS (SBN 107260), lar	n@msk.com				
2	VERONICA VON GRABOW (SBN 259859), vt MITCHELL SILBERBERG & KNUPP LLP	v@msk.com				
3	11377 West Olympic Boulevard Los Angeles, California 90064-1683					
	Telephone: (310) 312-2000					
4	Facsimile: (310) 312-3100					
5	LINDA MILLER SAVITT (SBN 094164) BALLARD, ROSENBERG, GOLPER & SAVIT	T LLP				
6	500 North Brand Boulevard, Twentieth Floor					
7	Glendale, California 91203-9946 Telephone: (818) 508-3700					
8	Facsimile: (818) 506-4827					
9	CAROL A. HUMISTON (SBN 115592) SENIOR ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY-CIT	Y OF BURBANK				
_	275 East Olive Avenue					
10	Burbank, California 91510 Telephone: (818) 238-5707					
11.	Facsimile: (818) 238-5724					
12	Attorneys for Defendant and Cross-Complainant CIT POLICE DEPARTMENT OF THE CITY OF BURB	Y OF BURBANK, including the ANK (erroneously sued as an				
13	independent entity named "BURBANK POLICE DE	PARTMENT")				
14	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
15	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES					
16	OMAR RODRIGUEZ; CINDY	Case No. BC 414602				
17	KARAGIOSIAN-GOMEZ; STEVE KARAGIOSIAN; ELFEGO RODRIGUEZ;	Date: June 8, 2011				
18	AND JAMAL CHILDS,	Time: 9:00 a.m. Location: 37				
	Plaintiffs,	Judge: The Honorable Joanne O'Donnell				
19	V.	DEFENDANT CITY OF BURBANK'S [PROPOSED] SPECIAL VERDICT FORM				
20	BURBANK POLICE DEPARTMENT; CITY OF BURBANK; AND DOES 1 THROUGH	RE: PLAINTIFF KARAGIOSIAN				
21	100, INCLUSIVE,	File Date: May 28, 2009				
22	Defendants.	Trial Date: June 8, 2011 (Plff. Karagiosian); July 27, 2011 (Plff. O. Rodriguez)				
23	AND RELATED CROSS-ACTIONS	Discovery Referee: Hon. Diane Wayne, Ret.				
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
	410135.1	1 t Form Re: Plaintiff Karagiosian				
	Defendant's Special Verdic	t Form Re: Planitiff Raragiosian				

5 10

TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

Defendant CITY OF BURBANK hereby submits the following proposed special verdict, which is CACI VF-2506A modified to apply to the facts and causes of action in this case.

Dated: May 6, 2011

BALLARD, ROSENBERG, GOLPER & SAVITT LLP Linda Miller Savitt

By:

Linda Miller Savitt
Attorneys for Defendants and
Cross-Complainant CITY OF BURBANK,
including the POLICE DEPARTMENT OF
THE CITY OF BURBANK (erroneously
sued as an independent entity named
"BURBANK POLICE DEPARTMENT")

•	ı						
	1						
	2						
	3						
	4						
	5						
	6						
	7						
	8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
	9	FOR THE COUNTY	Y OF LOS ANGELES				
1	10	STEVE KARAGIOSIAN,	Case No. BC 414602				
1	11	Plaintiff,					
1	12	v.	SPECIAL VERDICT				
. 1	13	BURBANK POLICE DEPARTMENT; CITY OF BURBANK; AND DOES 1 THROUGH 100, INCLUSIVE,	Trial Date: June 8, 2011				
	14						
	15	Defendants.					
	16						
	17						
•	18						
	19						
:	20						
	21						
•	22						
	23						
•	24						
	25						
	26						
	27						
Mitchell Silberberg & Knupp LLP	28						
3757238.1		410135.1	3				

3757238.1

We, the jury in the above-entitled action, find the following special verdict on the issues 1 submitted to us as to the claims brought by Plaintiff STEVE KARAGIOSIAN against Defendant 2 CITY OF BURBANK: 3 Hostile Work Environment 4 5 Was Steve Karagiosian subjected to unwanted harassing conduct because he is 1. Armenian? 6 No Yes 7 If your answer to question 1 is yes, then answer question 2. If you answered no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date 8 this form. 9 Was the harassment severe or pervasive? 2. 10 Yes 11 If your answer to question 2 is yes, then answer question 3. If you answered no, 12 stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form. 13 14 Would a reasonable person in Steve Karagiosian's circumstances have considered 3. the work environment to be hostile or abusive? 15 Yes 16 If your answer to question 3 is yes, then answer question 4. If you answered no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date 17 this form. 18 Did Steve Karagiosian consider the work environment to be hostile or abusive? 19 4. No Yes 20 If your answer to question 4 is yes, then answer question 5. If you answered no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date 21 this form. 22 Did the City of Burbank know or should have known of the harassing conduct? 23 24 Yes 25 If your answer to question 5 is yes, then answer question 6. If you answered no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date 26 this form. 27 Mitchell 28 Silberberg & Knupp LLP 410135.1

3757238.1

1	6. Did the City of Burbank fail to take immediate and appropriate corrective action?				
2	Y	es	No		
3	If your answer to question 6 is yes, then answer question 7. If you answered no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date				
4	this form.	swer no further que	stions, and na	tve the presiding jui	or sign and date
5	7. Did Steve Ka conduct was	ragiosian prove by a substantial factor	a prepondera in causing ha	unce of the evidence arm to him?	e that the harassing
6	Y	/es	No		
7 8	If your answerstop here, and this form.	ver question 8. If you ave the presiding ju	ou answered no, ror sign and date		
9		ve Karagiosian's d	lamages?		
10		non-economic loss			
11		e non-economic lo			
12		non-economic los	•		
13	1000				
14	· · .			TOTAL:	
15				,	•
16	Please sign and date this for				
17			•		
18	Signed: Presiding Juror		· 		
19	1 Testung suror				
20	Dated:	• •			
21	Dated.			4 4	
22					
23			•		•
24		. '			
25			s		
26		•			
27					
Mitchell 28 Silberberg & Knupp LLP			5		
757238 1	410135.1				

3757238.1